

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 11 have been amended. Claims 2 and 12 have been cancelled. Claim 20 has been cancelled without prejudice to the filing of a continuation or divisional application.

Double Patenting

The rejections of claims 1 and 11 have been rendered moot due to the amendments made thereto.

The rejection of claim 20 has been rendered moot due to the cancellation thereto.

35 U.S.C. 103§(a) rejections

Claims 1, 7-11, and 17, 18 and 20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being unpatentable over Zeluff. Claims 1 and 11 have been amended to include the limitations of allowable claims 2 and 12, respectively, and are therefore believed to be in condition for allowance. No new matter has been added which would require additional consideration by the Examiner, and the amendments clearly put the claims in condition for allowance, and should be entered after final. Withdrawal of the rejection is

respectfully requested. Claims 7-10 depend from amended claim 1 and are believed to be in condition for allowance for the same reasons. Claims 17 and 18 depend from amended claim 11 and are believed to be in condition for allowance for the same reasons

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that each of the claims is in condition for allowance. Withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested. Should there be any questions or remaining issues, Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned attorney for a speedy resolution.

Respectfully requested,

/Robert A. Parsons/

Robert A. Parsons
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 32,713
CN 29370

19 April 2007
4000 North Central Ave.
Suite 1220
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
(602) 252-7494